# Housing

# 4e - Any further comments you have on housing:

Make it attractive i.e. up market

If built make affordable for local people

Selsey is a fair size given the road B2145 capacity and current community facilities so it is unwise to expand it further at any time in future.

Selsey is loosing its character and heritage. It is in danger of becoming one large housing estate.

There should be no more houses or industrial sites built in this area. We are overloaded

too many houses built already

Stop

Selsey is at saturation point (no more)

if they fit into the general area and neighbours agree to it. Should we still be building when we may soon be a flood plain?

rates should not increase due to the present increase in building now

more efforts to encourage off road parking and garaging of cars, particularly at weekends

there are enough new developments in Selsey

stop building

Selsey cannot hope to cope with more housing unless infrastructure keeps pace. The road in and out is a nightmare

Enough is enough in Selsey

make sure there is enough housing for the young people of Selsey only NOT OUTSIDERS

no further housing development until infrastructure is improved including A27

only build when infrastructure i.e. roads, schools, medical is in place

what is the point of more housing when they could all be flooded in a few years time

don't want any more houses built in Selsey

only when building new estates - adequate parking should be available for visitors to houses

No more building until sea defences built. After all threes no compensation for people affected. NO until infrastructure sorter

The government propose two new eco towns with infrastructure as a package. In the light of this there should be NO further development in Selsey

the building of new houses has reached saturation point

4d depends on property and land as long as doesn't impact heavily on others, each case should be look at on its own merits

we seem to have imported troubled families into PYE and Pontins social housing. Local young people need housing

housing should be controlled to prevent spoiling views etc from existing houses

social housed next to private ownership invariably doesn't work

4d depends if causing obstruction

Selsey is already over-developed and development since 1960 has been completely lacking in strategy

We have enough houses already

the town is full - single 9 mile access road, infrastructure does not cope

we have enough

there's too many now

Selsey needs to stay with village feel

4a - enough is enough. There are enough hooligans from Havant here that don't want to be here now; 4d - the places are too small now; 4e - there is a great flood risk. Nothing is done. Business is lost homes also

4d - depends on size of garden

there seems to be enough housing given the size of the town and the transport infrastructure

24 August 2008 Page 1 of 10

Protection from the sea should be a major priority before any more development in Selsey

no more houses (+relates to B2145 overused anyway)

we have already progressed from a village to a town a city is unthinkable

how can more housing be considered with global warming. We keep being told Selsey is going to flood more

no social housing for outsiders, only residents of Selsey. No social housing for unmarried girls 'working the system; no one has to get pregnant

stop housing spreading into the countryside

Selsey should stop growing now. It is large enough

I don't think that Selsey has the capacity for any more private estates. Full Stop

I would like to see planning decisions in the hands of Selsey Council, rather than Chichester - as they seem to use surrounding area to Chi as a dumping ground for excessive housing

it is pointless building more houses until above is sorted

no development of any kind without 30% value 'Sea Defence' local tax

too many houses - too squashed together creates ghettos

stop new builds in Selsey - services already overloaded

we are told 'no more concrete. Or there will be more flooding. Green spaces are important

no more building Selsey has reached saturation point

we do not need anymore

Selsey would be spoilt. Too much traffic

more and better housing for younger local people

what is the point of more building if we do not sort out our sea defences

stop demolition 'one' property in order to build multiple units / flats

4b - what changes?

please no more estates - very poor designs, then black tarmac for paths - what happened to tiles or brick

we are overcrowded as it is, without any more

if we have new housing we need a new road into Selsey and a new school and another health centre

the people who were born in Selsey deserve 1st choice for housing. Not outsiders and giving 2 people 3bedroomed houses isn't fair. Sort out who has needs from Selsey

immigration control would eliminate the need

4d- maybe. It is a very difficult place - Selsey due to a lot of houses sprawl around. I think it is the council who should answer this question

more social housing is causing increased anti social behaviour

The local facilities and road to Chichester are at breaking point, anymore housing will have a disastrous effect

first time buyers need low price houses

extension's fine, additional houses no, because more houses means more children and we do not have sufficient facilities for children e.g.:- youth clubs

Keep Selsey rural (i.e. small)

no more

just look at Grafton Road, 3 houses on 1 plot

Still flooding on the roads out of Selsey

no further large developments

I think Selsey has reached saturation point. No more except where houses are knocked down, then build with smaller ones

Page 2 of 10

housing should not intrude onto existing fields or greens

before any further houses support functions must be put in place. Refer to 2b and 4c

24 August 2008

better infrastructure, provision of better dental care and health services

Selsey is at its maximum considering the E Agency expect it all to be flooded

there are far too many being built

restrict holiday homes - we could use the properties to save building

some gardens are suitable

special 1st time buyer scheme

there are not enough jobs for more people

Further housing is a definite no no for the peninsula

houses/homes should not be permitted without adequate on-site parking. New housing in flood risk area should be raised on stilts above the garage

4d - depends on each individual case

social housing in Selsey should be given to Selsey residents first

we should be working on protecting housing already here with sea defences rather than trying to satisfy initiative to build more

the B2145 is the busiest 'B' road in England. No further building should be allowed

each new house further aggravates overloading of the infrastructure

no more social housing. We have enough problems for our police at present (Petts Close)

the facilities in Selsey must about cover people here. Plus there is new blood so Selsey will always reproduce

enough is enough - no more please

there is not enough infrastructure to accommodate more housing

before more houses are built - the question is can Selsey services handle more people?

we have seen some lovely houses bulldozed that were in very good condition, stop the money making going on by get rich quick people, we have a lovely town

all you are doing is more room for people living on benefit

we were promised after the last lot of house, building would be restricted but I noticed more flats, houses knocked down and more houses built. It's not on

4d - as long as the rules are followed

too many housing estates already in Selsey, no more needed as schools and doctors cannot cope and are already full

I think Selsey has more than enough housing but too expensive for locals

this area is too densely housed already

insufficient bungalows for elderly in social housing. Releasing 2/3 bed houses

it has already got the population of a small town with village facilities

how about sorting out the burnt out cottages. Does nothing for Selsey

4d- depends on how much land is around the houses and what road access there would be

if we must have more houses built on green field sites then the social infrastructure needs improving but isn't Selsey going into the sea so why build?

there doesn't seem to be enough places for car in community people

any social housing should be for people from Selsey

big gardens should be used as gardens

what is the point of more houses if the EA wants Selsey to sink below the waves

too many foreigners in social housing when out youngsters cant get anywhere to live

conversion of garages into rooms should not be allowed to continue

and stop the pulling down of perfectly good housing just for further development

24 August 2008 Page 3 of 10

unlike my husband I feel we do need more social housing, but only for the REAL locals. My son has been on the list for many years and has been told we will be very lucky to be housed in the next 10 years. But before more housing we need to address the sea defences issue. A NHS dentist is also needed

We have only lived in Selsey for 2 years and as we pensioners do not feel qualified to comment on where houses should be built

much depends on the size of the back garden. There should be a minimum size of plot before plans are approved

facilities in Selsey are already overstretched. Expand them before building more houses

only if on huge garden. Not 3 in postage stamp size as is happening now

no new bungalows allowed. Instead 3 story houses and more affordable houses for 1st time buyers (local)

there are too many dwellings (people, therefore, cars) in Selsey already - stop building more

4d - must be looked at case by case

just to say that too much development has already taken place

this is no point building more houses at the end of a 9 mile cul-de-sac there is no work here so everyone will have to travel out. Not eco friendly

proper planning of course

provided garden size adequately copes and infrastructure not overloaded

we have enough problems with doctors surgeries, overloaded schools and roads mostly caused by a business park that should never have been built

4d - re gardens - there should be very strict criteria involving density and size and effect on neighbours including parking

too many now, strain on services

I believe that many of the recent amount of social housing and all social housing in Selsey should be reserved for Selsey residents and not outsiders. I also believe Selsey should participate in isolationism

Existing social housing should be specifically for Selsey families. We have recently homed many "outside" families on benefits who contribute nothing to our community

do we need any more?

4a - not aware of needs. 4d - if space allows it

my daughter married a man bred born and working in Selsey. They cannot afford to buy. Chichester Council have told them the wait is 7 - 25 years. It seems the locals don't count

there has been more than enough new housing built already. The 'village' feel is already being lost

stricter planning laws

building reduces lands ability to absorb. Drain surface water and leads to increased flooding

question 4d is 25 years too late. There aren't many back gardens left

no not without GP dental and school facilities and better road facilities in and out of Selsey

do we have to spoil the loveliness of Selsey by building on every inch of space. The feeling of space and out-back is the essence of Selsey

we have enough housing for this small area with one road

infilling reduces the quality of living accommodation

too much development - we used to be a village

local should get priority on housing

Selsey cannot take any more housing - the road, local schools, the medical centre etc are all already overburdened and much of the land is in a flood risk zone

any more housing would prove more congestion in to Selsey

more houses - more traffic

house the locals first

more flats should be allowed along High Street to help with regeneration of High Street. No need for link to shop units

24 August 2008 Page 4 of 10

enough

when the parish council oppose a planning application why can the CDC override it. This happens more often than not. Parish Council should have more clout

what happened to the village I used to live in

if many more 'estate' are built like Pye etc, it will (Selsey) start to resemble New Addington

4d - depends on the size of the garden, would it compromise other residents

I have not lived here long enough to answer this

Selsey is alright as it is

as emailed recently, I think developers and council have too cosy a relationship and planners take no notice of local concerns

no more (quite enough already)

we should not build any more housing estates in near future. We must not become an area that allows Chichester to get off the hook

road the inspectors comments on the last planning appeal in the 1980's

any social housing should be prioritised for needs local people first and before young single mothers and economic migrant workers

please, please do not allow gypsies to camp here

it seems every empty land is being built on. The way things are going Selsey will out grow itself

back garden building should be sensitive to area around it

4d - only if the room available is big enough.

4d - only on very large properties left to go wild and unused

only very limited further development should be allowed

Selsey is a small village and there isn't room or facilities for more

give more consideration to the elderly

re 4d if there is sufficient space allocated for decent living, adequate light and movement

would like to see affordable housing and shared ownership housing available to Selsey residents

The more houses we build in Selsey the worse the B2145 will become (as will the A27)

affordable housing for first time buyers

social housing should be restricted to local people and not to those from out of the area

there must be a limit in this area on present levels of building

4d - only where size permits

Selsey already full to overflowing

get rid of open plan front garden. We need gates and walls to keep out dogs, kids and yobs

no more big estates until funding for more doctors, teachers, fire station manned

we do not need flats or houses squeezed in just anywhere

re 4d - as long as it is done within the keeping of the area. Also houses designed in keep with the village setting

4d - depends on the size position etc of gardens

fill up spare land in Sidlesham out of flood pain area

I feel that Selsey is big enough and also can the main road to Chichester cope

demolition of house to build flats etc should be restricted

the infill of back and front gardens is ridiculous in Selsey. Any patch of found and planning permission seems to be granted. There should be restriction on such developments

There are enough houses now - not given to local people though

social housing. Council etc should give more priority to local applicants

24 August 2008 Page 5 of 10

I don't think it is necessary for any more housing in Selsey. Selsey cannot cater for any more influxes of new residents

further development should be stopped. We should not be building to attract people into village

the infrastructure is inadequate

make sure they scrapers don't move in

4d - each case on its merits

I think enough new houses have been built. What about a New Road to serve them. A decent supermarket would be nice

cant keep building without providing adequate facilities

the eyesore of the so called industrial estate opposite the leisure centre is a scandal - this should have been left as open space which is rapidly disappearing in areas of high density housing

Selsey is getting spoilt with all this building

no more development until a proper coastal defence strategy has been determined

too many housing developments are spoiling the town of Selsey

I think the cost of private rentals in Selsey is too great and availability very limited. Could council provide properties to rent to private rentals

lets keep Selsey as a village! The scenery is beautiful on the road to Selsey, why spoil it by building any more houses no parking etc in front of building line

for the size of the town we have enough housing already

besides the problem of extra traffic the local schools cannot accommodate more pupils

no more building

affordable housing for first time buyers - particularly long standing residents i.e. children of residents

rebuild sessions cottage

stop building more houses that locals cannot afford and are only built for rich outsiders

increase in council properties or housing association properties

we don't need anymore

Selsey is getting over developed

re back gardens if there is sufficient space yes. If like the development corner Grafton / Grove Roads - no

back garden development should be allowed only if there is plenty of space and it is in keeping with the area

no more building on food producing land, the global warning on food poverty is reasoned and serious. Pointless, under the threat of flooding to build more.

back garden development depending on size and type - no social housing

we do not require 300 houses

all ok in my opinion - enough housing now in Selsey

more council house and bungalows to rent

too many applications for bungalows turn into enormous houses called chalet bungalows and dwarfing other properties

4d - depends more consideration should be given to neighbouring housing. There is real cramming in e.g. 3 new houses corner of Grafton Road and Latham Road

keep some of our green field areas the same and not to be built upon

there is too much back filling being done

no more houses to be built in and around Selsey

should any more building be done considering the Government want Selsey to be covered in sea water and the infrastructure is not there

backfilling should never be allowed

Selsey is an ideal size community, best left as is

we don't need / can't afford to lose anymore green space

24 August 2008 Page 6 of 10

whats the point of more housing when you're arguing about the restoration of the sea front

no more in Selsey. Too much traffic in and out. Long delays

Selsey just can't take any more houses at the moment until B2145 improvements are implemented

Selsey housing has expanded too much in last 25 years

infrastructure not adequate to cope with what we have, without more housing development

It really is the same 'old chestnut'. Selsey does not have the infrastructure for further housing estates. The very fact that there is only one road in and out should be the death knell on any future large planning proposals. Re social housing, the example of 'Pyefields' is proof enough that when these new estates are being built they do little to alleviate the housing shortage of Selsey people. They just seem to add further burden on the 'stretched to the limit' services that already exist. We are further burdened with the 'dysfunctional' families imported from other areas. There has also been the recent headline making news o the powers that by trying to close St Richards A&E, no this does not bode well for further housing. We only have one dental practice in Selsey which housing the grand total of 2 dentists and 1 hygeinist.

we have enough - no more

building in back gardens should only be permitted if it is your own eg an annexe

The more you cram houses together the more problems you have withg people

more thought given to where. Not on flood plains. Better mix of social / private. Integration doesn't work. Have defined areas

no more in Selsey

The present situation - roads - shops - schools etc can barely cope with present population

there are plenty of houses to rent in the area. We do not need to build more and bring in more 'problem families' as at Pye fields

for Selsey people not outsiders. As still local people cannot be housed

Selsey is now big enough and cannot take any more inhabitants

stop building houses for people from Lee Park and other similar estates

4d - this is only if its not overcrowding

everything should have been addressed before the Pye estate (including factories) were built

shared ownership premises should be made more affordable and available to all - not just key workers

no more houses please - our facilities already stretched

no more housing

4d - yes / no - strict controls and depends on location

no more building

building houses in large gardens reduces habitat for wildlife

Don't build anymore. Re-develop existing properties. More shared ownership schemes

too much over crowding not enough trees and greens

there are engough housing for the amenities we have currently got

more opportunities for shared ownership

far too many extensions are allowed and gardens are being ruined

Selsey has enough housing

Selsey has been spoilt due to over housing. Stand up to government and stop housing. We are running out of food, gas and electric - stop building - grow food on fields

no more housing which affects surface water drainage to East Beach area. The area hardly copes now

stop building any more. Including the knocking down of one bungalow to be replaced with four or more flats

no further housing developments to be built. Do demolishing one house to build several flats

all social housing should be fully occupied. Ie not one person in a 3 or 2 bed house etc etc

more social house made available to people from other councils to enable them to live in Selsey

24 August 2008 Page 7 of 10

4d - sometimes if there is enough space

no more housing we have built our quota

4d - it makes the garden small and ruins the area

too much building

Selsey is becoming overdeveloped

4d - should be allowed if not intrusive - better than building more huge estates

destroys character of village

houses demolished to be replaced by flats should not be allowed (each flat has 2 cars - too much traffic on Selsey - Chi road

any further development would not only overload the roads but also the police provision and local schools

stop giving our houses to outsiders. Help Selsey people first

inevitable, but a shame

any more houses in Selsey will mean it will lose its 'village' character further which would be a great shame

4d- subject to sensible guidelines

if more houses are approved they should be affordable for essential workers and first time buyers

social housing should be for local or West Sussex people not for other counties

first time low paid earners

being built too small (a 2 bedroom). One is lucky if a wardrobe can be put in the second bedroom once the bed is in it

local people cannot get on housing ladder due to high prices and low salaries paid locally. We need low cost housing

also more attractive properties in the High Street, should not be demolished to build extra shops and flats

yes its still too expensive for the youngsters to buy or get on the housing ladder

no more please

4a - no more housing of any type

Grafton Road is a good example why no more back garden building

Selsey is a quiet seaside town and any further developments will spoil the character of Selsey and its surroundings

they shouldn't be allowed to knock down a property and put flats on it and they shouldn't be allowed to put 3 properties on small piece of ground corner of Grove and Grafton Rd

We are losing far too many green spaces which are vital to the environment / wildlife that makes Selsey such a great place to live in

If sea defences are not a priority then no point building more houses

more low cost basic housing needed for young adults

we are already overcrowded with infrastructure unable to cope. With Selseys future uncertain it seems apalling to want to populate the area further

do not make Selsey a concrete jungle leave it as it is

no more housing on the flood plane;. This year the flooding came nearer than ever. Totally irresponsible to allow any more ground to go

there is no point in building houses for people if at the same time, the government permit land and houses to be lost to the sea

Buildings should not be demolished to make way for additional housing / businesses to profit developers when against Selse interests to do so

I have ticked no to this section only because as I stated before we are new to the area and not sure how the housing situation stands at this time

inftrastructure is the sticking point

Stop it in Selsey now

discourage prolific flat building in Gardens etc. spoiling Selseys character

24 August 2008 Page 8 of 10

far too much infilling has been allowed over the last few decades already. Recently we've been told the current fad for cementing over front gardens makes for potential flooding. More infilling could have a similar disastrious effect

too many front gardens are now being concreted over so surface water will accumulate with risk of flooding

no future mistakes like the mixed private / social housing (Pye fields)

no more houses at all please

save those houses threatened by the sea, otherwise further houses will be lost

Can Selsey cope with more housing? Is it for public good or private greed?

saying no to other housing associations. Keep it local

no point in building more housing if sea defences are not kept up

building of houses in back gardens should be approached with greater care

more housing will decrease the open spaces in Selsey. We do not need more housing

no more housing estates

any social housing should be spread out

it is such a sham to see Selsey becoming as it is. With all the culture that has been here. Now disappearing with the back garden development

too many empty holiday homes. Plenty up for sale. Use them don't build more

a strict limit on futher housing unless roads / infrastructure are improved

too much use of large gardens for development

too many second home owners in Selsey who often do little to give back anything to the area. Houses left unlived in for much of the year isn't good

parking requirements must be raised to ensure more off-street parking

fight the government we have enough houses to cope with here. With shortages of food the land should be used to grow crops etc. flooding risk makes it unsuitable

the social housing should be primarily for Selsey people

avoid over density. Only rabbits should live in warrens

Seaside villas with large back gardens are part of our heritage - should be protected. Planners should encourage more imaginative designs - Selsey is becoming a wasteland of bland, identikit chalet bungalows

until the B2145 and facilities in Selsey are improved there should be no large scale housing development

don't build houses in back gardens

no more houses

no to building in back gardens because that's their land and there is already a house on it

Selsey is losing space in fields etc as people are building more houses

we should not build anymore houses

no more houses

no more houses being built

I don't want any more houses built

if you want it done

4d - too much back garden development has happened already. 4e - housing developments should be smaller and better designed. People who di up front gardens completely for parking should pay extra council tax

4d - strongly disagree on this because houses with larger gardens reducing spoils the look of residential areas

Selsey can't sustain the houses it has as there isn't the infrastructure

they should have more room for car parking

there are too many houses in Selsey already

24 August 2008 Page 9 of 10

I now a lot of people that are waiting for council houses, who want to stay in Selsey. More council houses are needed, not just odd ones on private estates. Let the Selsey people stay in Selsey, not all these incomers, who are the only ones that can afford these new houses

I think there are enough houses in Selsey. The road in and out is congested enough

there is no where else to build except on the Langmead fields

there should be no further building in Selsey

the lack of housing is partly due to holiday homes and second home owners which stand empty for many months at a time

don't need any more houses - the whole essence of Selsey is gradually being eroded, all our open spaces being filled with houses

housing is much too condensed - not enough road, play or garden space

no more building in Selsey

I think we now have more than enough housing

it's a shame Selsey used to be a nice village years ago, but now it is a money, money, lets build and sell more and more new houses

social housing only for local people

all new housing should have solar power

some very large houses / gardens are suitable for development but many in Selsey have been allowed on plots that are totally inadequate

If planning permission goes unchecked there will be large family houses with virtually no gardens. This is totally unacceptab

Affordable housing only, Selsey is running out of places to build on, a few more bungalows for the older persons please

no more like Pyes last abortion. Any one who had anything to do with it should be sacked ie Ms Bateman

No More Housing. Enough is Enough. We are getting a lot of bad people, look at Pye field trouble. I feel sorry for the good people

planning regulations currently hell bent on destroying our surroundings, offlicence to be demolished - houses corner Grove / Grafton just two examples of many

Further building in Selsey is not sustainable and will not be sustainable untill sea defences, road acess and medical services are sorted out

stop all further developments period

believe Selsey is already overcrowded and too much traffic passing through

Local social housing should be given to Selsey locals first. If they wnet to school here and work here, they should be housed first

cheaper housing for 1st time buyers

no expansion of Pye estate - B2145 is far too crowded as it is and services are already stretched

4d - provided site is considered suitable in the area of the proposed development

there is no need for more houses to be built here

Stop allowing building on every square inch of land

We are losing the limited green spaces we had; even at the current time Londoners have access to more green walking space than we do and we are rural!!

but extending houses for shared use could be considered. With our industrialised agriculture round Selsey back gardens are an important resource for our wildlife: I would also like to see gravelling over of gardens discouraged (by publicity)

Selsey is fully developed and there should be no further building

у

impossible to legislate for thisw. Why would you want to

garden grabbing in Selsey is about greedy developers, not providing affordable homes. They are priced at £300,000 and above, and do not sell. Poor design, too many on plot, trees illegally cut down in nesting season

24 August 2008 Page 10 of 10